	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/864,417		CHESAVAGE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Brandon J. Mille	er	2617	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Brandon J. Miller</u> .	(3)			
(2) Richard A. Bachand.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Adachi (US 6,877,037 B1).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: General nature of invention was discussed as well as possible claim amendment to change data object to include "macros" communications. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's sign	ature, if required	